

Minutes of a Regular Council Meeting of the
Village of Walton Hills, Ohio held Tuesday
November 21, 2017 at 6:10 p.m. in the
Village Hall Council Chambers at 7595 Walton Road

Mayor Hurst called the meeting to order. The Pledge of Allegiance is spoken. Mayor Hurst also asks for a moment of silence for our Military men and women and for the deceased residents. Katie reads the list as David Dooner, Sue Hopkins, and Joe Oriti. The roll call was then taken by Katie Iaconis. Councilpersons present were Denny Linville, Paul Rich, Gloria Terlosky, Don Kolograf, and Brian Spitznagel. Mayor Hurst also asks for the record to show that Law Solicitor Bill Mason is present, as well as fiscal officer and clerk of council, Katie Iaconis. Councilman Kolograf makes a motion to excuse Councilwoman Brenner-Miller from the November 21, 2017 council meeting. Seconded by Councilman Rich. No questions or comments. Five ayes approve.

Item E: Receive and file Council Caucus minutes of November 21, 2017. Councilman Rich makes a motion that we receive and file Council Caucus meeting minutes of November 21, 2017. Seconded by Councilman Linville. No questions or comments from councilmembers. Five ayes approve.

Item F: Persons having business before council. Josephine Wartl from 17850 Egbert Road stands and speaks. She speaks regarding the ordinance to provide for the collection of fees for residential waste collection. She asks if that means that the council is planning on charging the residents fees for their garbage collection. She states that she thinks we are just nickel and diming ourselves to try and solve the problem that was caused when the tax levy failed. I think part of the failure of the tax levy was caused by our council people. She states that each council member should have taken 175 residents and gone to their house and talked to them and explain to them what little taxes they are paying on our inside millage and how much they are getting for those services, and if the residents want to continue having these services, we are enacting a 5 mill tax levy. She states that this should have been explained to the people what the inside millage is, not what the total tax is. That is the council people's jobs.

She does not know why everything was put on the back of the Mayor to have all of these big meetings, when each one should have done something. Her feeling is that we should start again to try and get this tax levy passed. To go ahead and have a fee here, a fee there, a fee here, a fee there... We should have an ad hoc committee who are for the tax levy to try and figure out what the residents are looking for and adjustments that can be made in this village that they would approve the tax levy. She states this is ruining our village. She says we will start by maybe \$15 a month and then, by time, another four or five years, it may be \$30 or \$40 a month. People would understand that a tax levy would be a lot less than having all these little fees. Just like seven or eight years ago when we had the past Mayor, the council went for a 9 mill tax levy and Josephine even got up and said this is not going to pass and it did not pass. So, the village hit them with the sewers. At that time, the sewers were \$32 an MCF. Now, they are \$84 an MCF. In addition to that, we had lost another about \$400,000 in taxes that they would have received even after they pay the sewer from the businesses, but no, we had to go for the 9 mill. Now, we are making the same mistakes all over again. She thinks that with a lot of hard work and trying to let the residents know what they are getting for the little bit of money they are paying inside millage and that all of these other fees are going to cost them a lot more, we may get the tax levy passed. She thinks it is up to the council to start working on this and maybe get a committee of residents who would also work on it. She states this is not solving our problem. She understands that every year we are in a deficit. So something more constructive has to be done. She will continue bringing this up until some action is taken by the council. She does not want the council to put all the burden on the Mayor.

Raymond Weeden from 19346 Rashell Drive speaks. He asks regarding Ordinance 2017-15. He has no concern whatsoever about entering into this special agreement, but his question is really what the legislative process is in order to pass the fees on to the residents. He is not sure if this was under the special assessment or if that was

what was scheduled for the sewer maintenance. If it is, his concern is the fact that we have other fees and other services in the city including prior protection from another village. He is wondering if it is really the resident's responsibility to pay for ongoing maintenance of infrastructure in the city. He is wondering what the legislative process is of engaging the residents to pay for typical routine maintenance of our sewer system in the village.

Mr. Mason states that we have had four or five conversations. This has been discussed openly and now it is out for the council to make a decision as to whether this is an ordinance that they desire or not. Raymond states that the language in this ordinance only speaks to entering into the agreement. There is nothing in this language that speaks to the residents having to pay the fees for this agreement. That is where his question is. He says that in 2017-18, there is some language talking about modifying the codified ordinances of the village to invoke the fees for the rubbish collection, but in 2017-15, there is no reference as to how legislatively we get to the point of the residents paying for the sewer maintenance agreement. Councilman Spitznagel speaks and says that this is correct. He states that Katie spoke about that a little bit before.

Mayor Hurst states the sewer agreement in the Appendix A and the attachment, it speaks of the agreement that the county would go forward and we probably will have to pass another legislation to allow the county to assess. This is an agreement for the county to do our storm water and sewers. There is no fee tomorrow. This is for the county to start the process to assess and evaluate our sewer and our infrastructure and then give us a set fee for the council. Raymond clarifies that there will be further discussion on legislation in front of the residents as it relates to whatever fees that may get passed on to the residents to perform this maintenance. Mayor Hurst says yes – there are more steps to this. It is not just a one-time agreement. Mayor Hurst explains that our engineer explained to us about our infrastructure and Alexander Road with a breakdown of the storm sewers and the sanitary sewers. The county is already in our community and industrial area. So, this is basically allowing them to take over the rest of our sewer system and then do the process. Mayor says we can put this on first reading if it is confusing, and we will have someone from the county come in the month of December from the county to explain the process. Raymond says that would be wonderful if there were someone to explain. He does have one more question. Raymond states that our industrial customers are already paying. Mayor Hurst says that 90% of them are already impacted. He confirms to Raymond that they are already paying. The Mayor states that this is why there is already a set fee and everything else, and that is why there is already money in escrow that I talked about before. If there were anything catastrophic, there is already money in this account that they have assessed for our business and commercial business areas. Mayor Hurst says that we will recommend to have someone come in here in December, and we will put it under first reading. Raymond thanks the council. The council has no problem with this.

Sarah Blondin from 16883 South Meadowpark. She clarifies that someone will be coming in the month of December to talk about and get clarification on storm water and that the council and Mayor are saying that storm water and sewer maintenance is village infrastructure. Mayor Hurst clarifies that this is storm water and sanitary both. This is what this agreement is. He states that it was about 1985 when our system came in this village; not all the village as some of the village had sewers beforehand and stuff. We are getting to the age where we are running into problems with our sanitary sewers and our pump stations. We talked about the cost and the ongoing maintenance. He states this is about a \$125,000 maintenance fee every year in our budget. We are trying to find ways to do this. This is not unusual as there are several communities who already have this in process. Several communities have this assessed onto their property tax. This is nothing new. This is just another avenue for us to reduce our operating costs because we can no longer afford to continue to do this.

Sarah states she understands that. She then asks if there is a commercial and a residential assessment, and there are two separate accounts with money being paid into it? Mayor Hurst states that right now we are not paying anything into storm water. Sarah clarifies that we get certain funds allocated. Mayor Hurst verifies this is correct for residential. He says there is no residential for our county program. Sarah states that the funds that were allocated toward the project on her specific property were different. Mayor Hurst states that that was a different sewer fee. He says this is an agreement with Cuyahoga County. This is not the Northeast Ohio Sewer District. He clarifies that Sarah's problem was with the Northeast Ohio Sewer District and a total different development and total different program. He tells her that that is where the \$27 that the residents pay every 3 months goes for.

That is where the money came for to pay for your project. We get 25% of that money that is paid in back. That is where that equation came from and everything.

Sarah asks if the storm water systems is still encapsulated in this legislation. Mayor Hurst states that this is the underground infrastructure of storm water. Not the above ground. Not the open sewers. Not the open gutters. When we are saying storm water, we are saying specifically what runs alongside our sanitary sewer on Alexander Road and on Egbert Road and on Sagamore Road where those systems are antiquated and are falling apart. That is where we have this agreement in there because it is costing us money if we have to replace it. He goes on to explain that the process is that every 5 years you run cameras down your system and you have to monitor and you keep a flow chart and everything else. The Mayor states that Raymond knows because he worked for the Northeast Ohio Sewer District. There is a process of maintenance so we don't have that. Walton Hills has not had that maintenance in over 10, almost 20 years. The last time we ran cameras down was in 2012. That is when we found a lot of problems in the parking lot coming from behind us. Sarah states that you may want to specify that in the language then because on her drawings, it says storm water/sewers.

All of the money from the communities goes into a big pot and if we have something done, they take what they charge out of that pot. They have more synergy to do it and more resources to do everything than what we can do by ourselves. Sarah's only last comment is that after witnessing what took place in order to get that storm water pipe removed from our property, is that she simply feels in good conscience that obviously there is a disagreement of responsibility on what the council defines as village infrastructure. However, even though we are happy to put it behind us, in good conscience, she still questions how that can be perceived as not village infrastructure. She is interested to learn more about that in December. Mayor Hurst says he will try and have them come to our December 5 meeting, our first COW meeting in December.

Jennifer Allen from 14533 Alexander Road stands and speaks. She has a couple of questions. She was wondering what the determination was or if there was even a determination made on charging residents for EMS service/ambulances. Mayor Hurst said that they never brought it forward any further. He said it was on the discussion in September but then we did not discuss it any further than that. He said it did not seem like anyone was interested in going any further with that. Jennifer states that her question was whether the Village of Oakwood already charges the residents. Mayor Hurst says that the contract is that they charge the residents and bill their insurance and if their insurance does not pay, there is no repercussion to the residents. He says it is called "soft billing." Then, if the nursing homes get a soft bill, if they do not do it, then they do a hard bill to the insurance company. Jennifer says that this is how most of the villages and cities do it. She was wondering if Oakwood was already charging the residents, even if it a soft bill, how would the Village of Walton Hills be able to charge the residents also, even if it were a soft bill. She is wondering if this would be considered double billing. Mayor Hurst says no. We were actually considering backing that out of the contract. That is why it did not go any further. So, it is still under discussion.

Jennifer's second question is on Ordinance 2017-15. She is wondering if eventually there is a fee for this that is going to be passed on to the residents? Mayor Hurst states that there has been discussion of letting the county take over maintenance of our sewers and our sanitary sewers. With that assessment, the county said they would, instead of frontage to each person's property, make it one flat rate. That rate was estimated between \$125 to \$150. They said more like \$135. They would have to do the calculations. This is per year. This would be an assessment on your property taxes to pay for the frontage of assessment. Jennifer asks if that money goes straight to the county. Mayor Hurst says yes, it would pay for this cost and would not come to the village, but it would back out our budget \$125,000. Right now, our service department pays for that.

The last question that Jennifer has is that on the collection of the garbage for residents. She states she was called and told that at a council meeting, it was stated that the residents should have passed the tax levy and that is why this is going on. She wants to know if this is correct and was stated by anyone or not. She is asked to restate the question. She states that a resident called her and stated that during discussion at COW meetings about waste/garbage collection and the residents having to pay for it, that it was stated from council or Mayor Hurst that the residents should have passed the tax levy and that is why this is happening. She just wants to know if anyone said that.

Councilman Spitznagel states that he does not know if it is was stated specifically, he verifies that he knows he has stated several times that we did not pass the tax levy so we have to do something. He says that every resident that he spoke to; which he did go out and talk to residents although he did not cover 100+ houses; and even in this campaign, he has stated that if anyone comes to your door and tells you that they can fix this budget without any impact to you, they don't know what they are talking about. He confirms that he has made that statement. He has also made the statement that we will do, and you will be a part of this soon enough, as much as we possibly can to balance this budget with the least amount of impact to our residents. All of the council members are residents too. None of us want to pay any more. He states they are going to do everything they can do to do this. Jennifer states this is why she wanted to come to the council directly and ask because this was stated by a resident and the resident said that many other residents are upset and they feel like they are being punished. She states that she just wanted to let the council and the Mayor know that this is what is being said and this is how some of the residents feel. She asks the council and the Mayor to tread with due diligence knowing this is how people feel and this is what they are saying right now.

Councilman Kolograf states that yes, as a council, we felt that we were going to take it to the people. He says he would rather the people be a part of this than a swipe of the pen. He said the reason we had those open forums were to address these topics. He says we had 5 open forums plus the Meeting with the Mayor plus the letters and things that were put out. He says she is right and that the people spoke. That is part of what happens. He says that as a governing body, if there are other options and we have to not only look at our wellbeing, we have to look at the wellbeing of the village, and we have to make tough decisions. He says that when he first came to the village 22 years ago, there was a lot of money. That money over the years has been used to sustain the village. Some residents said, "Use the money in the bank. It is for rainy days and that is right now, so use it." He made this in two or three public meetings. So that is what we did, but now we are at the point that we have to sustain some kind of fund balance as a reserve for if the truck goes or we have a major infrastructure emergency, we have to have that money in the bank. He speaks to Josephine as well and says that he spoke to a lot of people, probably not 125 people, but he attends a lot of things and he goes to a lot of things. When it came to the levy, he had more people for it, but he also had very good friends who were against it. They told him that. He tried to tell them why it is there and what it is going to be used for, but as has been said, some have told me very simple things like, "I am tired of the government going in my pocket. I am going to vote no on any tax thing that comes before me and I could care less what you say." You try and tell them your side and do what you can do, but it is tough. Jennifer states that she understands that it is difficult, but the residents see that this was a police levy and as the police levy, it did not pass and we fired or laid off some police officers, but we also re-hired police officers again. Residents are hearing this and that if it were supposed to be a police levy, we are hiring police officers, we did not pass it, and now they are punishing us. Mayor Hurst thanks her for this. No other questions or comments from the audience.

Item G: Mayor's Report. Walton Hills Police Department issued 152 tickets in the month of October. The predominant violation was speeding with 46 citations written, followed by 15 tickets written by expired plates, 10 citations for driving under the influence, and 6 arrests for OVIs in the Village of Walton Hills. Year-to-date collections for the Mayor's court is \$237,621. We have currently, in our safety equipment fund, \$18,815. Our auxiliary police year-to-date paid for \$4220. These are all fees that the council established to offset the cost of operations to make it more efficient.

Miscellaneous: Mayor Hurst tells the council that we made the news the other day with the accident that caught on fire. On Monday morning, there was an accident on Dunham Road and Alexander Road with someone swerving to miss a deer, and they went off the road. It was at 5:30 a.m., and they had to remove them from the car. It resulted in the two people of the car going to the hospital and one person had to have surgery. It was a minor surgery, but everyone is okay. The car was totaled. It caught on fire. We had to shut down the road. It was on Channel 8 news. It was over a deer that they swerved to miss.

Mayor Hurst reminds the council that we have a 12% increase in our medical. This was also discussed in COW. We did go out for bids and this was the best we could do. We are going to honor the contract we have in place.

It was a 12% increase for our medical, 10% increase in our dental, and our vision had no increase whatsoever. He knows that the council members do not get healthcare, but the Mayor wanted to make it publicly known that there are continuing to be increases across the board for operations.

Item H: Council comments. Councilman Spitznagel states that, going back to the tax levy discussion, what he was telling residents, and he said this at multiple town hall meetings and in person to people, we are the resident's representatives. This is how he presented it to the residents. We are giving them the information. Maybe the information is not presented the way the residents like it and maybe the residents think it is an ultimatum or whatever. He states that our true intent is to give them the information of what we are looking at. Everything is on the table here. We have to balance this budget. The rubber is meeting the road right now. We have to balance this budget. So, the residents need to look at all the information as a concerted resident and tell us, as the council, what they suggest that they do. He asks if the residents want us to work within the revenues we have or do you want to give us the money where we can save. We did lay off full-time police officers. The police officers that we hired were part time to fill in, and we eliminated positions. So, the police department is down from what they were a few months ago. The residents spoke and they told him that this is what they wanted us to do. They stated that they wanted us to work within the revenues that we are getting right now. That is exactly how he presented it to everyone he spoke to, and this is what they told me. We are doing everything in our power to work within these revenues. We did get a draft copy last week of a proposed budget. He was very pleased with it actually. He believes it needs some tweaking, but that is for further discussion. He states it is not true that we did nothing to the police department. The police department is down. The next step is, by contract, we have to have ten full-time police officers. We can ask them to change the contract and go down to 8 or 7 and fill in with part time, or we can go to the Sheriff's Department, but he does not want to play this game where people are saying the things they are saying. They do not know the facts. We laid off full-time police personnel, we got rid of positions, and we just did fill a couple of part-time slots to fill in, because we want police 24/7 on the roads and he knows the residents want police 24/7 on the roads. He says the day after the levy failed, we had people come in here to say "Don't touch our police." We had people before the levy saying, "This is not a police levy." Then, they came in the day after and said, "Don't touch our police." We cannot balance the budget by doing nothing. We have to do something.

Councilman Linville speaks and states that he also did not go to 140 houses, but he knows that when he talked to people about that levy, he asked them to support the levy because it was needed for our community to sustain itself in a manner that we have been accustomed to. I sit out there from early in the morning until the polls close in the evening encouraging people that I knew to vote for that levy because it was needed. He had some people tell me no, I am not going to vote for it, but those same people will be the ones who come out there and tell you that if you do not do something about our policeman and keeping them on the road and keeping our leaves picked up, you are being derelict. These actions have to be taken whether we like them or not. We are not punishing anyone. We are confronting reality. It is called confronting reality. This is the way that he looks at it. For someone to say that we are punishing them is a crock. There are people sitting in this room right now that discouraged people from voting for the levy. He was not one of those people. He said that if the residents want a policeman to show up at their door, well that policeman has a family and he or she has to be paid.

Councilman Rich states that 2017 and 2018 is a crossroads year for our village. We have had the money before, but today, we do not have the money. We cannot spend any more than what we are taking in. He states we are the only village out of the communities in this county where we do not assess our residents anything except the sewer right now. We are the only village in the county that does not have a tax levy or has ever increased property taxes, but we still have all these services for everyone. What really gets him is that Mayor Hurst mentioned that we have expenses that are going up in medical and dental, 12% this year and 12% two years ago. We are findings ways to try to make this up, but we just do not have it. The state is not giving us any money these years. The county is not giving us any money. These are all things that are adding up that we used to get that the village had to live on. The only way we can make this budget work is to spend less and take more in in revenue. He thinks we have been trying to do this. We have been nickel and diming and taking money out of the budget, and we

have some new businesses coming in. He is sure we are going to try and make this thing work over the next four or five weeks, but we still need to assess our residents a few more things. It is not a penalty, it is just a way of allowing us to survive next year.

Councilwoman Terlosky speaks and says that when this all started with the levy, we could have done it with a swipe of a pen, but we went to the people and wanted the people to speak, and they did. They did not want the levy. Time has gone on since then and the residents have not seen anything. The leaves are still being picked up and everything is still happening. The ones who got hurt were the employees with the police being laid off and recreation cuts. We cannot survive this year. We wanted four years and we could have taken it off at any time in the four years if things would have bounced back. We have to go within the lines of what the people spoke about. We have to work with what we have to work with. If we are not getting the money from the outside, we have to look internal at how we can do this. This may not be forever. She says it is very easy to be a council person when you have a lot of money and have \$10 million sitting in the bank. That was easy. When we are running at a deficit, it is not so easy. People talk. This village is the biggest grapevine. If you want to say something, it will get around this village so fast. Transparency is here. This Mayor did five town hall meetings. Most Mayors do not even do one. Plus he does Mornings with the Mayor where the residents can ask any question they want about anything. And the people who have a question get their answers. We have the transparency. She wishes that the rest of the village would come to the meetings like Jennifer did instead of going and telling their neighbors something that is a half-truth. If the residents want answers, they can come to a meeting or call any one of the council members and they will answer the questions. The Mayor works very hard at economic development and if we did not have the JEDD we would be asking \$115 instead of the \$15. She asks the residents to bear with them.

Councilman Spitznagel speaks again and states that for any resident who thinks we did nothing with the police department, \$500,000 a year is the number you can tell them. That is the amount we have cut from the police department.

No more comments or questions from council.

LEGISLATION:

Ordinance 2017-15: Mayor Hurst asks councilman Linville to do this for first reading instead of suspension, and we will finalize it in the month of December. Councilman Linville introduces for first reading Ordinance 2017-15, an ordinance authorizing the Mayor to enter into a utility agreement with Cuyahoga County for the maintenance of the storm and sanitary sewer system within the Village of Walton Hills and declaring an emergency. Seconded by Councilman Rich. Mayor Hurst states that he will put this on the first agenda in the first meeting in COW; he believes it is 12/5; so the county officials can come in and explain all the details, and our engineers will be here as well.

Ordinance 2017-16: Councilwoman Terlosky introduces for suspension Ordinance 2017-16, an ordinance adding Chapter 1267 to the Village Codified Ordinances creating economic development overlay district and declaring an emergency. Seconded by Councilman Linville. No questions or comments. Five ayes approved. Councilman Terlosky asks for adoption of Ordinance 2017-16. Seconded by Councilman Rich. Mayor Hurst makes a comment by stating that this ordinance and the other ordinance are both about overlay zoning in the Country Home district. This also has specific language to allow in the mixed use on the category from Alexander Road to Sagamore Road for overlay zoning to be into that as well. This gives the authority for the Planning Commission to work with the Village Council to control and do it's conditional use for each one of the developments. The council would have full authority to go through the whole process to pick out the shrubs, to pick out the specifications, and all the aspects. This is not changing the zoning of our village. This is allowing the economic development to benefit our village. He states that as everyone knows, these properties have been for sale and have been on the market for several years. Nothing is done with this property, so this could give us a great

opportunity to bring in some memory care or nursing homes or something of that nature. The council understands. Five ayes approve adoption.

Ordinance 2017-17: Councilman Kolograf introduces for suspension Ordinance 2017-17, an ordinance amending section 1266.02, Use Regulations; Chapter 1272, Mixed Use District; and Chapter 1294.04, Conditional Uses of the Country Home Districts of the Codified Ordinances of the Village of Walton Hills and declaring an emergency. Seconded by Councilman Spitznagel. No questions or comments. Five ayes approve. Councilman Kolograf asks for approval of Ordinance 2017-17. Seconded by Councilman Spitznagel. Mayor Hurst says this is similar to the last ones. He appreciates everyone giving this opportunity to use this as economic development. Five ayes approve.

Ordinance 2017-18: Councilman Spitznagel introduces for first reading Ordinance 2017-18, an ordinance amending section 1060, under Chapter 6 of the Codified Ordinances of the Village of Walton Hills, Ohio, to provide for the collection of fees for residential waste collection and declaring an emergency. Seconded by Councilman Linville.

Resolution 2017-27: Councilman Rich introduces Resolution 2017-27 for suspension, a resolution approving the lot split and consolidation of Permanent Parcel Number 79428-002, 79429-001, 79429-002, 79428-003, and 79428-002, confirming the action of the village Planning Commission on November 9, 2017, and declaring an emergency. Seconded by Councilwoman Terlosky. Councilman Rich makes a comment that the company that could possibly be locating in this area is very well qualified. They have an excellent business plan and would be an asset to our village for many years. I think they will be an ideal company. Councilman Spitznagel acknowledges the Mayor. He says that we have been going into a lot of Executive Sessions and a lot of these Executive Sessions dealt with this stuff here. He does not know if the companies in this process want to be known at this point or not, so he is not saying any names, but this is what we have been doing and this is what the Mayor has been working on. He has been working very hard and it is starting to come to fruition to the village to give us some more money. He is glad to see that it is coming to a good close for us. He thanks the Mayor for his hard work. No other questions or comments. Five ayes approve suspension. Councilman Rich introduces Resolution 2017-27 for approval. Seconded by Councilwoman Terlosky. No questions or comments. Five ayes approve.

Councilman Kolograf makes a comment that in our COW meeting last week, with the ordinances that passed, we did get the consensus from Councilwoman Brenner-Miller. It is common practice that we kind of try to at least get a consensus. She wanted to make this stated that she was in agreement to pass those four ordinances that we passed.

Item J: Enter Executive Session. Councilman Kolograf makes a motion to go into Executive Session to discuss the purchase or sale of land, economic development, personnel, and litigation. Seconded by Councilwoman Terlosky. No questions or comments. Five ayes approve. Enter Executive Session at 6:59 p.m.

Item L: Reconvene from Executive Session. Councilman Spitznagel makes a motion to reconvene from Executive Session on October 17, 2017, at 7:39 p.m. Seconded by Councilwoman Terlosky. No other questions or comments from the council. Five ayes approve.

Old and New Business: Mayor Hurst said that there is really no old or new business. He states that he did give the council a copy of the county thing with the property on Walton Road. It is in their packets. He states that they are still evaluating. There is an opinion from Joe Gigliotti about that. He wishes everyone to have a good Thanksgiving. Councilman Kolograf speaks and makes a point that the Lake Club had their annual meeting and they distributed their financial report for the year. He gave everyone a copy. So, in the budgeting and putting the budget together, when we get to that line item, it is in front of us and we can look at that. No other questions or comments.

Councilman Kolograf makes a motion to adjourn the Regular Council meeting of November 21, 2017, at 7:41 p.m., seconded by Councilman Linville. No questions or comments. Five ayes approved.

Respectfully submitted,

Katie Iaconis
Fiscal Officer